UNCONTROVERTED FACTS ISO

GLIDEWELL'S MPSJ RE INFRINGEMENT

Case 8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 Filed 11/19/12 Page 1 of 28 Page ID #:1429

Snell & Wilmer

LAP OFFICES

LAP OFFICES

Los Argeles, California Plaza

Los Argeles, California 90071

Los Argeles, California 90071

Plaintiff and Cross-Counterclaimant James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc., ("Glidewell") having moved for partial summary judgment as against Keating Dental Arts, Inc.'s ("Keating") on Glidewell's claim for infringement, Keating's second affirmative defense, and Keating's first counterclaim for declaratory relief of non-infringement, the Court finds that the following facts are uncontroverted and makes the conclusions of law set forth below.

I. <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u>

Uncontroverted Facts	Supporting Evidence
1. James R. Glidewell Dental	Declaration of Jim Shuck, Exhibit G to
Ceramics, Inc. ("Glidewell") is a	the Appendix of Evidence ("Shuck
leading provider of dental restoration	Decl.") ¶ 4; Declaration of Dr. Ronald
products to dentists.	Goldstein, Exhibit O to the Appendix
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of Evidence ("Goldstein Decl.) ¶ 16.
2. Among the products that Glidewell	Shuck Decl. ¶ 4.
sells are dental crowns and bridges.	
3. A crown is a type of dental	Shuck Decl. ¶ 4.
restoration product that completely	
caps a tooth.	
4. A crown is typically bonded to the	Shuck Decl. ¶ 4; Declaration of Stuart
tooth using dental cement or resin.	R. Newman, Exhibit B to the Appendix
	of Evidence ("Newman Decl.") ¶ 3;
	Declaration of Terence J. Michiels,
	Exhibit Q to the Appendix of Evidence
	("Michiels Decl.") ¶ 3; Declaration of
	Spencer D. Luke, Exhibit D to the
	Appendix of Evidence ("Luke Decl.")
	¶ 3; Declaration of Gregory Doneff,

- 1 -

	1		Exhibit A to the Appendix of Evidence
	2		("Doneff Decl.") ¶ 3; Declaration of
	3		Thomas E. Bell, Exhibit E to the
	4		Appendix of Evidence ("Bell Decl.") ¶
	5		3; Declaration of Howard S. Cohen,
	6		Exhibit C to the Appendix of Evidence
	7 8		("Cohen Decl.") ¶ 3; Declaration of
	9		Kent J. Toca, Exhibit F to the
			Appendix of Evidence ("Toca Decl.")
	10 11		¶3.
Two California Plaza	12	5. In the past, crowns were principally	Shuck Decl. ¶ 5.
e Califo	13	fashioned either from gold (which has	
Wilmer	14	favorable tensile characteristics but is	
X V VW OFFI C, Suite 13) 929-	15	considered aesthetically unappealing by	
Snell & LAW O LAW O Los Angeles, Sui Los	16	many people) or from porcelain	
S1 - 350 South Grand Lo	17	veneers fused to a metal casting (which	
350 So	18	is aesthetically appealing but tends to	
	19	crack when subjected to heavy pressure	
	20	or grinding).	·
,	21	6. More recently, dental laboratories	Shuck Decl. ¶ 5.
	22	began to fashion crowns made of a	
	23	veneer fused or adhered to a hard	
	24	ceramic such as zirconia.	
	25	7. However, these crowns too tended	Shuck Decl. ¶ 5.
	26	to crack when subjected to heavy	
	27	grinding.	
	28	8. Beginning in 2005, Glidewell began	Declaration of Robin Carden, Exhibit J
	1		

	. *	
1 2	developing a new type of crown, a solid zirconia crown.	to the Appendix of Evidence, ¶ 2.
	zirconia crown. 9. The advantages of this new monolithic zirconia (or "full contour zirconia") crown were that it would be extremely hard – sufficiently hard to be used in applications requiring a material with high tensile and compressive strength, including treating patients with "bruxism," a parafunctional activity in which a person repeatedly and habitually grinds his teeth – and that it could be milled from a block of zirconia based on a digital representation of the patient's mouth. Glidewell's crowns are not used only by people who suffer from bruxism; they are used by a variety of patients who choose to have monolithic	to the Appendix of Evidence, ¶ 2. Shuck Decl. ¶ 9, Declaration of Michael C. DiTolla, Exhibit I to the Appendix of Evidence ("DiTolla Decl.") ¶ 18; Newman Decl. ¶ 11; Michiels Decl. ¶ 11; Luke Decl. ¶ 11; Doneff Decl. ¶ 11; Bell Decl. ¶ 11; Cohen Decl. ¶ 11; Toca Decl. ¶ 11.
21 22	zirconia crowns due to tooth damage caused by a variety of conditions. 10. The disadvantage was that zirconia	Shuck Decl. ¶ 10, DiTolla Decl. ¶ 18.
23242526	is an unattractive material, due to its extreme whiteness and lack of translucency.	
27 28	11. Ultimately, Glidewell partially overcame the aesthetic challenges of	Shuck Decl. ¶ 10, DiTolla Decl. ¶ 18.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	developing a new type of crown, a solid zirconia crown. 9. The advantages of this new monolithic zirconia (or "full contour zirconia") crown were that it would be extremely hard – sufficiently hard to be used in applications requiring a material with high tensile and compressive strength, including treating patients with "bruxism," a parafunctional activity in which a person repeatedly and habitually grinds his teeth – and that it could be milled from a block of zirconia based on a digital representation of the patient's mouth. Glidewell's crowns are not used only by people who suffer from bruxism; they are used by a variety of patients who choose to have monolithic zirconia crowns due to tooth damage caused by a variety of conditions. 10. The disadvantage was that zirconia is an unattractive material, due to its extreme whiteness and lack of translucency. 11. Ultimately, Glidewell partially overcame the aesthetic challenges of

- 3 -

	1 2 3 4 5 6	the material, devising a process for fabricating a full contour zirconia crown that was sufficiently appealing that it could be used in a variety of applications. 12. In early 2009, Glidewell conceived	Shuck Decl. ¶¶ 8, 9.
	8	the name for its new line of monolithic zirconia dental restoration products: BruxZir.	
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2600, Two California Plaza Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 929-2500	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	13. The name was chosen in large part because it suggested the primary characteristics of the product: that it was sufficiently strong and durable to be used in a variety of applications, including treating patients with bruxism ("Brux"), and that the material from which the crown was fashioned is zirconia ("Zir"). 14. Glidewell's line of monolithic zirconia dental restoration products, including full contour zirconia crowns, was launched under the BruxZir mark	Shuck Decl. ¶ 9; Goldstein Decl. ¶ 17; Declaration of David Franklyn, Exhibit N to the Appendix of Evidence ("Franklyn Decl.") ¶ 42; Declaration of Rudy Ramirez, Exhibit H to the Appendix of Evidence ("Ramirez Decl.") ¶ 4.);. Shuck Decl. ¶ 7.
	23 24 25 26 27 28	in June 2009. 15. Glidewell has been marketing monolithic zirconia dental restoration products, including full contour zirconia crowns, under the BruxZir	Shuck Decl. ¶¶ 38, 39.

-4-

			· ·
	1	mark continuously since June 2009.	
	2	16. Since its introduction in 2009, the	Shuck Decl. ¶ 41.
	4	BruxZir line of restoration products	
	5	(primarily dental crowns and bridges)	
	6	has been phenomenally popular,	
	7	generating nearly \$ REDACTED in	
	8	revenue from July 2009 through	·
	9	September 2012.	
	10	17. Glidewell has promoted the	Shuck Decl. ¶¶ 38, 39; Goldstein Decl.
[aza	11	BruxZir product line heavily, investing	¶ 16.
ner Two California Plaza 20071	12	nearly \$ REDACTE in advertising its	
mer	13	products under the mark from June	
Will LP. PFICES ire 2600, alifornia 29.2500	14	2009 to June 2012.	
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES LOS Angeles, California 90071 (213) 929-2500	15	18. Glidewell applied to register the	Declaration of Vaith Allerd Balilia
Sno	16	BruxZir mark on June 7, 2009 for use	Declaration of Keith Allred, Exhibit L to the Appendix of Evidence ("Allred
Sn 350 South Grand A	17	in connection with dental bridges,	Decl.") ¶ 5, Exs. 59-60.
35	18	dental caps, dental crowns, dental	3, 1743. 35-00.
	19	inlays, dental onlays, and dental	·
	20	prostheses.	
	21	19. Glidewell's BruxZir mark was	Allred Decl. ¶ 5, Exs. 59-60.
	22 23	registered on the Principal Register for	
	24	use in connection with dental bridges,	
	25	dental caps, dental crowns, dental	
	26	inlays, dental onlays, and dental	
	27	prostheses on January 19, 2010, as Reg.	
	28	No. 3,739,663.	
	I		

	1 2	20. Glidewell owns U.S. Trademark	Allred Decl. ¶ 5, Exs. 59-60.
	3	Reg. No. 3,739,663.	
	4	21. In May 2011, Keating Dental Arts,	Shuck Decl. ¶ 15.
	5	Inc. ("Keating") began to offer full	
	6	contour zirconia dental crowns and	
	7	bridges under the brand "KDZ Bruxer."	
	8	22. The BruxZir mark suggests, but	Franklyn Decl. ¶ 42.
	9	does not directly describe, a particular	
	10	quality or characteristic of the dental	
E 22	11	restoration products that Glidewell	
rnia Plaz	12	offers under the mark.	·
ner — wo California Plaza 0071	13	23. The BruxZir mark suggests that	Shuck Decl. ¶ 9; Goldstein Decl. ¶ 26.
Vilm CES 2600, Tw 2500	14	crowns marketed under the brand are	
W OFF! W OFF! Suite 3) 929.	15	strong because they are appropriate for	
Snell & Wilmer LAW OFFICES LOS Angeles, California 90071 (213) 929.2500	16	patients who "brux," i.e., who grind	
Sn 350 South Grand A	17	their teeth, and also suggests that the	
350 Sou	18	crowns are made from zirconia, a	
,	19	particularly hard material.	
	20	24. Glidewell's crowns are not used	Shuck Decl. ¶ 9, DiTolla Decl. ¶ 14.
		only by people who suffer from	·
	21	bruxism; they are used by a variety of	
	22	patients who choose to have monolithic	
	23	zirconia crowns due to tooth damage	
	24	caused by a variety of conditions.	·
•	25	25. Glidewell markets crowns and	Shuck Decl. ¶¶ 20-26; Newman Decl.
	26	bridges under the BruxZir mark to	¶ 3; Michiels Decl. ¶ 3; Luke Decl. ¶ 3;
	27	dentists in the United States.	Doneff Decl. ¶ 3; Bell Decl. ¶ 3; Cohen
	28		

	1		Decl. ¶ 3; Toca Decl. ¶ 3.
	2 3	26. Glidewell promotes its dental	Shuck Decl. ¶¶ 20-26; Newman Decl.
	4	crowns and bridges under the BruxZir	¶ 3; Michiels Decl. ¶ 3; Luke Decl. ¶
	5	mark through the Internet (through	3; Doneff Decl. ¶ 3; Bell Decl. ¶ 3;
	6	Glidewell's blog as well as	Cohen Decl. ¶ 3; Toca Decl. ¶ 3;
	7	www.BruxZir.com), direct mailers,	Goldstein Decl. ¶ 19, DiTolla Decl.
	8	trade shows, ads in dental industry	¶¶ 4, 6-7, 10.
	9	publications, press releases, continuing	
	.10	education brochures, videos and	
e2 e	11	training sessions for dentists, samples,	
ner Two California Plaza 2007 1	12	and specialized prescription forms.	
ner	13	27. Over the period June 2009 to June	Shuck Decl. ¶¶ 38, 39; Goldstein Decl.
Wiln	14	2012, Glidewell spent approximately REDACTE in promoting its BruxZir	¶ 16.
AW OFF	15	b b marketing is Similar	
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES Law Avenue, Suite 2500, 17wo C. Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 929-2500	16	finished crowns and bridges through	
Sn 	17	these channels.	
350 S	18	28. Over the period June 2009 to June	Shuck Decl. ¶ 39.
	19	2012, Glidewell spent approximately REDACTE	
	20	\$ D marketing Glidewell's	
	21	BruxZir finished crowns and bridges,	
	22	and about \$ REDACTE was spent	
	23	marketing Glidewell's BruxZir zirconia	
	24	milling blanks.	
	25	29. Over the period June 2009 to June	Shuck Decl. ¶ 38.
	26	2012, Glidewell spent approximately	
	27	on direct mail advertising	
	28	for BruxZir finished crowns and	

		:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 F #:1438	iled 11/19/12 Page 10 of 28 Page ID
	1	dental industry publications is	Luke Decl. ¶ 3; Doneff Decl. ¶ 3; Bell
	2	consistent, ongoing and pervasive.	Decl. ¶ 3; Cohen Decl. ¶ 3; Toca Decl.
	<i>3</i>	·	¶3;.
	5	35. Advertisements promoting	Shuck Decl. ¶ 22; Newman Decl. ¶ 3;
	6	Glidewell's BruxZir crowns and	Michiels Decl. ¶ 3; Luke Decl. ¶ 3;
	7	bridges run in numerous publications,	Doneff Decl. ¶ 3; Bell Decl. ¶ 3;
	8	including ADA News (once a month),	Cohen Decl. ¶ 3; Toca Decl. ¶ 3;
	9	Chairside Magazine, Dental	Goldstein Decl. ¶ 19; DiTolla Decl.,
	10	Economics, Dental Lab Reports,	¶¶ 6-7.
2 Z Z	11	Dentaltown, Dental Tribune, Dentistry	
Mer Two California Plaza 90071	12	Today, Inclusive Magazine, JDT, and	
ner	13	LMT.	
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES Low Avenue, Suite 2660, Two Ca Los Angeles, Galfornia 90071 (213) 929-2500	14	36. Glidewell selects ADA News,	Shuck Decl. ¶ 22.
LAW OF LL. Suite Suite (213) 929	15	Chairside Magazine, Dental	,
Snel	16	Economics, Dental Lab Reports,	
outh Gra	17	Dentaltown, Dental Tribune, Dentistry	
350 S	18	Today, Inclusive Magazine, JDT, and	
	19	LMT because of their wide readership	
	20	and ability to reach thousands, if not	
	21	hundreds of thousands, of dentists and	
,	-22	dental laboratories.	
	23	37. Glidewell attends dozens of trade	Shuck Decl. ¶ 26; Michiels Decl. ¶ 3;
	24	shows and conventions a year around	Luke Decl. ¶ 3; Doneff Decl. ¶ 3.
	25	the country, especially the American	
	26	Dental Association conventions.	
	27	38. At these conventions and trade	Shuck Decl. ¶ 26; Michiels Decl. ¶ 3;
	28	shows, Glidewell consistently,	Luke Decl. ¶ 3; Doneff Decl. ¶ 3.

	Case	8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 Fi #:1439	iled 11/19/12 Page 11 of 28 Page ID
	1	prominently displays the BruxZir mark	
	2	in connection with its line of dental	
	3	crowns and bridges in a variety of	
	4	contexts, including on the booth, the	
	5	signage, in brochures, and takeaways.	
	6	39. Dr. Michael DiTolla, Glidewell's	Shuck Decl. ¶¶ 27-29; Luke Decl. ¶ 3;
	7	Director of Clinical Education and	DiTolla Decl. ¶¶ 4, 6-7, 10.
	8	Research, and Robin Carden,	
	9	Glidewell's Vice President of Research	·
, na	10	and Development, make educational	
Mer Two California Plazz	11	videos, write articles and give	
er Califor	13	presentations concerning Glidewell's	
Vilm CES 2600, Tw	14	BruxZir-branded products.	
- LLP. W OFFI S. Suite 3, Suite 13, 929.2	15	40. Dr. DiTolla's presentations and	Shuck Decl. ¶ 27.
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES and Avenue, Suite 2600, Two C. Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 92-2360	16	videos are viewed by thousands of	
orth Gran	17	dentists every year.	
350 Sor	18	41. Glidewell's BruxZir branded line	Shuck Decl. ¶¶ 30-36; Goldstein Decl.
	19	of dental restoration products has	at ¶ 20; Newman Decl. ¶ 3-6; Michiels
	20	received wide recognition and acclaim	Decl. ¶ 3-6; Luke Decl. ¶ 3-6; Doneff
	21	in the dental industry.	Decl. ¶ 3-6; Bell Decl. ¶ 3-6; Cohen
	22		Decl. ¶ 3-6; Toca Decl. ¶ 3-6;.
	23	42. Numerous articles have been	Shuck Decl. ¶ 30.
	24	written by third parties concerning the	
	25	BruxZir dental restoration products and	
	26	referencing them by Glidewell's	
	27	registered mark.	
·	28	43. Glidewell has received numerous	Shuck Decl. ¶¶ 32-36; Goldstein Decl.

•		8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 Fi #:1440	iled 11/19/12 Page 12 of 28 Page ID
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2600, Two California Plaza Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 929-2500	Case 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	awards recognizing the superiority of its BruxZir-brand dental crowns and bridges, including (1) the 2010 Best Product Innovation Award from Tosch Corporation, (2) the Journal of Dental Technology's Wow! 2011 Products Awards, (3) Inside Dental Technology 2011 iNavigator Top Pick, (4) 2011 Best Product from Clinician's Report, (4) the Pride Institute's 2012 Best of Class Technology Award, and (5) 2012 semifinal candidate for Best New	¶ 20.
		12	Material. 44. BruxZir is the number one prescribed brand of full zirconia dental crown in the country. 45. From June 2009 to September
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	2012, Glidewell has sold approximately REDACTED dental crowns and bridges under the mark. 46. In monetary terms, from July 2009 to September 2012 Glidewell sold nearly \$ worth of dental crowns and bridges under the BruxZir mark.	Shuck Decl. ¶ 41.
,	26 27 28	47. The BruxZir mark has achieved wide recognition among dentists in the	Shuck Decl. ¶¶ 11, 30-36; Newman Decl. ¶¶ 4-6, 9-10; Michiels Decl. ¶¶ 4-

. (Case	8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 Fi #:1441	led 11/19/12 Page 13 of 28 Page ID
	1	U.S. for dental crowns and bridges.	6, 9-10; Luke Decl. ¶¶ 4-6, 9-10;
	2		Doneff Decl. ¶¶ 4-6, 9-10; Bell Decl.
	3		¶¶ 4-6, 9-10; Cohen Decl. ¶¶ 4-6, 8-10;
	4		Toca Decl. ¶¶ 4-6, 9-10.
	5	48. In 2010, Dentsply, the second	Shuck Decl. ¶ 11.
	6	largest dental company in the United	
	7	States and a manufacturer of ceramic	
	8	products, conducted research to	
	9	identify brands for fixed products (such	
	10	as crowns and bridges) that have a high	
. Plaza	11	degree of recognition among dentists	
ner Two California Plasa 20071	12	and dental laboratories in the U.S.	·
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES LAW Avenue, Suite 2660, Two CA Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 929-2500	13	49. The results of Dentsply's research	Shuck Decl. ¶ 11.
LLP. CFFICE OFFICE Uite 260 Californ 929-250	14	indicated that Glidewell's BruxZir	Shack Deel. 11.
LAW venue, S vingeles, (213)	15	mark had a high degree of recognition	
Sno	16	among dentists and dental laboratories	·
South (17	in the U.S.	
35(18	50. There are only five marks used in	Franklyn Decl. ¶ 46.
K	19	commerce in the U.S. that sound	Trankryn Deci. 40.
	20	anything like BruxZir for use in	
	21	connection with either dental crowns or	
	22	with constitutive materials: (1)	
	23	Glidewell's registered BruxZir mark;	
	24	(2) Glidewell's unregistered BruxZir	
	25		
	26	mark; (3) Keating's KDZ Bruxer mark;	
	~~	(4) "GPS BruxArt" (a competitor's	

junior pending mark); and (5)

27

	Case	8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 F #:1442	iled 11/19/12 Page 14 of 28 Page ID
	1	"BruxThetix" (another competitor's	
	2	junior pending mark).	
	3	51. The BruxZir mark is relatively	Franklyn Decl. ¶ 46.
	4	unique in its field in the U.S.	
	5 6	52. The BruxZir mark is commercially	Franklyn Decl. ¶ 46; Newman Decl.
	7	strong with respect to dental crowns	¶¶ 3-6, 9-10; Michiels Decl. ¶¶ 3-6, 9-
	8	and bridges in the U.S.	10; Luke Decl. ¶¶ 3-6, 9-10; Doneff
	9		Decl. ¶¶ 3-6, 9-10; Bell Decl. ¶¶ 3-6, 9-
	10		10; Cohen Decl. ¶¶ 3-6, 8-10; Toca
2 Z Z	11		Decl. ¶¶ 3-6, 9-10; Goldstein Decl.
met Two California Plaza 90071	12		¶¶ 15-16, 21.
ner w Cali	13	53. Keating's dental crowns and	Shuck Decl. ¶ 15; Luke Decl. ¶ 12;
Shell & Wilmer LAW OFFICES LAM Avenue, Suite 2600, Two Ca Los Angeles, Galifornia 90071 (213) 929-2500	14	bridges marketed under the KDZ	Bell Decl. ¶ 12; Goldstein Decl. ¶ 25.
LL. AW OF ue, Suite eles, Cal	15	Bruxer brand compete directly with	`
Shel	16	Glidewell's dental crowns and bridges	
outh Gra	17	marketed under the BruxZir mark.	
350 S	18	54. Both Glidewell and Keating sell	Declaration of William Wong, Exhibit
	19	full contour zirconia crowns and	P to the Appendix of Evidence ("Wong
	20	bridges under their respective BruxZir	Decl.") ¶ 8, Ex. 81 (no. 6); Wong Decl.
	21	and KDZ Bruxer marks.	¶ 14, Ex. 87 (no. 2); Newman Decl. ¶¶
	22		4-6, 9-10; Michiels Decl. ¶¶ 4-6, 9-10;
	23	·	Luke Decl. ¶¶ 4-6, 9-10, 12; Doneff
	24		Decl. ¶¶ 4-6, 9-10; Bell Decl. ¶¶ 4-6,
	25		9-10, 12; Cohen Decl. ¶¶ 4-6, 8-10;
	26		Toca Decl. ¶¶ 4-6, 9-10.
	27	55. Both Glidewell and Keating sell	Wong Decl., ¶ 12, Exhibit 85 (104:24-
	28	dental crowns and bridges under their	105:3); Luke Decl. ¶ 12; Bell Decl. ¶

	Case	8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 Fi #:1443	iled 11/19/12 Page 15 of 28 Page ID
	1	respective BruxZir and KDZ Bruxer	12.
	2	marks throughout the United States.	
·	3	56. Both Glidewell and Keating sell	Shuck Decl. ¶ 15.
	4	full contour zirconia crowns and	
	5	bridges under their respective BruxZir	
	6 7	and KDZ Bruxer marks.	
	8	57. Both Keating and Glidewell sell	Shuck Decl. ¶ 15.
	9	full contour zirconia crowns and	
	10	bridges to the same universe of	
e 7	11	potential buyers – dentists throughout	
ornia Pla	12	the U.S.	
Mer ————————————————————————————————————	13	58. Glidewell's BruxZir crowns are	Shuck Decl. ¶ 16.
Wilces 2600, Ti fornia 90	14	sold at a comparable price point to	,
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES LAW Avenue, Suite 2500, Two GA Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 929-2500	15	Glidewell's KDA Bruxer crowns.	
Snel	16	59. Glidewell's BruxZir crowns are	Shuck Decl. ¶ 16.
outh Gra	17	sold for \$99 per crown, excluding	
350 \$	18	rebates, promotions and other special	
	19	pricing.	
	20	60. Keating's KDA Bruxer crowns are	Wong Decl. ¶ 13, Ex. 86 (43:17-20);
	21	sold for \$139 per crown, excluding	DiTolla Decl. ¶ 15, Ex. 76.
	22	rebates, promotions and other special	
	23	pricing.	
•	24	61. Dentists in the U.S. consider	Bell Decl. ¶ 12; Luke Decl. ¶ 12.
	25	Glidewell's BruxZir crowns and	
	26	Keating's KDZ Bruxer crowns to be	
	27	directly competitive.	
	28	62. Of the 22 dentists that Keating	Declaration of Rudy Ramirez, Exhibit

Case 8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 Filed 11/19/12 Page 16 of 28 Page ID #:1444

		,
1	identified as Keating customers who	H to the Appendix of Evidence
2	had purchased a KDZ Bruxer crown in	("Ramirez Decl.") ¶ 6.); Wong Decl. ¶
3	Keating's Third Amended Disclosures,	16, Ex. 88.
4	nine – i.e., 41% – had previously	
5	purchased one or more BruxZir crowns	
6	from Glidewell.	
7	63. Glidewell's BruxZir mark does not	Shuck Decl. ¶ 19. Ex. 8.
8	include two or more separable word	
9	elements or a design or logo.	
10	64. Keating capitalizes the first letter	DiTolla Decl. ¶ 15, Ex. 76.
11	of the "Bruxer" component of its mark,	
12	just as Glidewell capitalizes the first	
13	letter of "BruxZir."	
14 15	65. Keating's KDZ Bruxer mark	Shuck Decl. ¶ 19. Ex. 8; DiTolla Decl.
16	prefaces a root word ("Bruxer") that is	¶¶ 15, 19, Exs. 3-4, 76.
17	nearly identical to the entirety of	
18	Glidewell's mark ("BruxZir") with a	
19	three-letter acronym ("KDZ") that does	
20	not on its face serve any source-	
21	identifying function.	
22	66. In the text of Keating's	DiTolla Decl. ¶ 15, Ex. 76.
23	advertisements the term KDZ is no	
24	more prominent than the "Bruxer"	
25	component of its mark.	
26	67. Dentists are not likely to consider	Shuck Decl. ¶ 18; DiTolla ¶ 20.
27	the BruxZir and KDZ Bruxer marks	
28	side by side as a shopper would two	
40		

- 15 -

[PROPOSED] STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS ISO GLIDEWELL'S MPSJ RE INFRINGEMENT

Snell & Wilmer

LAW OFFICES 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2600, Los Angeles, California (213) 929-2500

	•	#:1445	
	1 2	competing brands in a supermarket;	
	3	instead, they are likely to consider the	
	4	marks separately at different points in	
	5	time.	·
	6	68. Subtle differences in the BruxZir	Goldstein Decl. ¶ 26.
	7	and KDZ Bruxer marks are less likely	
	8	to be "in the buyer's mind" when the	
	9	buyer makes the decision to purchase	
	10	Keating's dental crowns under the	
8 Z 2	11	KDZ Bruxer mark than if products	
ornia Pla	12	marketed under the two marks were	
Vilmer CES 2560, Two California Plaza ornia 90071	13	offered side by side.	
Wiln P. FICES a 2600, 1 Ifornia 9	14	69. The BruxZir mark and the KDZ	Wong Decl. ¶ 12, Ex. 85 (43:15-44:8,
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES LAW Avenue, Suite 2660, Two Co	15	Bruxer mark suggest similar meanings.	83:9-15); Shuck Decl. ¶ 9; Goldstein
Snel	16		Decl. ¶ 26; DiTolla ¶ 14; Franklyn
St. South Grand	17		Decl. ¶ 49).
350 (18	70. BruxZir suggests a product	Shuck Decl. ¶ 9; Goldstein Decl. ¶ 26;
	19	indicated for people who suffer from	DiTolla ¶ 14; Wong Decl. ¶ 12, Ex. 85
	20	bruxism, i.e., people who tend to grind	(43:15-44:8, 83:9-15).
	21	their teeth ("Brux") and that the product is made of zirconia ("Zir").	
	22	71. REDACTED	Frontilum Deal # 40 W
	23	REDACTED	Franklyn Decl. ¶ 49; Wong Decl. ¶ 12,
	24		Ex. 85 (43:15-44:8, 83:9-15).
	25		
	26	REDAC	
	27	72. REDACTED	Declaration of Nicola Faller, E-1:1:
	28		Declaration of Nicole Fallon, Exhibit

Case 8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 Filed 11/19/12 Page 17 of 28 Page ID

	1		REDACTED	M to the Appendix of Evidence, ¶¶ 4-
	2			10, Ex. 2; Goldstein Decl. ¶ 23.
	3			
	4			
	5			
	6			
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10			
iia Plaza	11			
Two California Plaza 90071	12			
00, Two	13			•
Suite 26 Califor 929-25	14			
Angeles, (213	15			
Grand / Los	16			
350 South Grand Los	17			
56	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25	73.	REDACTED	Wong Deal ¶ 11 Ex. 94 (56.22 57.6)
	26		REDACTED	Wong Deel. ¶ 11, Ex. 84 (56:23-57:6);
	27			Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15.

`	Case	8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN	Document 81-2 Fi #:1447	led 11/19/12 Page 19 of 28 Page ID
	1	REDAC	TED	
	2			
	3			
	4	74. REDA	ACTED	W D1 (11) F04 (50 11 14
	5	REDAC	ΓED	Wong Decl. ¶ 11, Ex. 84 (58:11-14,
	6			68:12-18); Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15.
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10		j	
a Plaza	11			
mer Two California Plaza 90071	12			
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES and Avenue, Sulfee 2609, Two Ca Los Angeles, California 90071 (713) 929-2500	13	75. REDA	CTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3355,
LLP.— OFFICE Suffe 260 Californ 929.250	14	REDAC	TED	3358); Goldstein Decl. ¶ 28.
lell &	15		:	
Sm Th Orand / Los	16			
50 South	17			
ю	18			
	19			
	20	76.	ACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3350,
	21	REDAC	TED	3352).
	22 23			
	23 24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
	~~			[PROPOSED] STATEMENT OF

Snell & Wilmer LAW OFFICES 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2500, Two California Plaza Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 929-2500	1	REDACTED		
	2 3 4 5 6 7	77.	REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3359, 3362).
	8 9 10 11 12 13	78.	REDACTED REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3363, 3366).
	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	79.	REDACTED REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3367, 3370).
	21 22 23 24 25 26 27	80.	REDACTED REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3371-3373).

Snell & Wilmer L.P. LAW OFFICES 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2600, Two California Plaza Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 929-2500	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	81.	REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3375-3377).
	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	82.	REDACTED REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3384, 3386).
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	83.	REDACTED REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3392, 3394).
	 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	84.	REDACTED REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3404, 3406).

GLIDEWELL'S MPSJ RE INFRINGEMENT

	1		REDACTED	
	2			
	3			
			DEDAOTED	1
	4	85. (REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA3444,
	5		REDACTED	3446).
	6			
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10			·
a Plaza	11	86.	REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15 (KDA 3486-
California Plaza	12		REDACTED	3488).
S 0, Two (ia 9007 l	13			3 100).
OFFICE uite 260 Californ 929-250	14			
LAW venue, S Angeles, (213)	15			
Grand A	16			
O South	17			
35	18	87.	REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. 15.
	19		REDACTED	Wong Deci. ¶ 4, £x. 15.
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26	00	REDACTED	
	27	88.	REDACTED	Newman Decl. ¶ 3; Michiels Decl. ¶ 3;
	28			Luke Decl. ¶ 3; Doneff Decl. ¶ 3; Bell

	Case	8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 Filed 11/19/12 Page 23 of 28 Page ID #:1451			
	1	REDACTED	Decl. ¶ 3; Cohen Decl. ¶ 3; Toca Decl.		
	2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	3		at 3; Goldstein Decl. ¶¶ 27-28; Wong		
	4		Decl. ¶ 12, Ex. 85 (105:4-25).		
	5	89. REDACTED	Wong Dool # 9 For 91 (no. 21), W		
	6	REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 8, Ex 81 (no. 21); Wong		
	7		Decl. ¶ 11, Ex. 84 (26:11-20 & 70:23-		
	8		71:17; Exh. 514; 90:15-25; Exh. 519;		
	9		93:19-94:5 and Exh. 520); Wong Decl.		
	10		¶ 12, Ex. 85 (92:14-93:12; 104:24-		
1223	11		106:10).		
ifornia P	12				
Mer Two California Plaza 90071	13				
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES and Avenue, Suite 2600, Two Ca Los Angeles, California 90071 (713) 929-23500	14				
LAW OIL	15	90. Glidewell and Keating promote	Wong Decl. ¶ 9, Ex. 82 (no. 10);		
Sne	16	their respective BruxZir and KDZ	Newman Decl. ¶ 3; Michiels Decl. ¶ 3;		
Sylvand Crand	17	Bruxer products in some of the same	Luke Decl. ¶ 3; Doneff Decl. ¶ 3; Bell		
350 S	18	magazines – such as ADA News,	Decl. ¶ 3; Cohen Decl. ¶ 3; Toca Decl.		
	19	Dental Economics, Dentistry Today,	¶ 3.		
	20	and Dentaltown.			
	21	91. Glidewell's BruxZir brand crowns,	DiTolla Decl. ¶ 21.		
	22	Keating's KDZ Bruxer crowns, and			
	23	other competitors' full contour zirconia			
	24	crowns have similar features and are			
•	25	generally indicated for the same uses.			
	26	Many dentists may regard them as			
	27	interchangeable.			
	28	92. While there are aesthetic	Franklyn Decl. ¶ 49.		
	۷۵ ا				

- 22 -

· ··· .C	Case 8	11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 81-2 Fil #:1452	led 11/19/12 Page 24 of 28 Page ID
	- 1	differences between different brands of	
·	2	full contour zirconia crown, the	
	3	properties of these crowns are highly	
	4	similar because all of these crowns are	
	5	made of the same material – zirconia.	
	6 7	93. REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 11, Ex. 84 (92:15-93:3).
	8	94. By the spring of 2011, Glidewell's	
	9	BruxZir mark was already well known	Newman Decl. ¶¶ 3-6, 9-10; Michiels
	10	in the industry.	Decl. ¶¶ 3-6, 9-10; Doneff Decl. ¶¶ 3-
Plaza	11	in the maistry.	6, 9-10; Bell Decl. ¶¶ 3-6, 9-10; Cohen
Mer Two California Plaza 90071	12	;·	Decl. ¶¶ 3-6, 8-10; Toca Decl. ¶¶ 3-6,
mer	13	05 P 11	9-10.
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES and Avenue, Suite 2660, Two CA Los Angeles, Galifornia 90071	14	95. By the spring of 2011, Glidewell REDACTED	Shuck Decl. ¶ 15.
LAW O nuce, Sui geles, C (213) 9	15	had already spent about \$	·
Sne	16	promoting its goods under the BruxZir	
South Gr	17	mark. REDACTED	
350 S	18	96. REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 11, Ex. 84 (92:15-93:7);
	19	11257.0125	Wong Decl. ¶ 8, Ex. 81.
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28	97. REDACTED	Wong Decl. ¶ 11, Ex. 84 (93:4-11).
			[PROPOSED] STATEMENT OF

Snell & Wilmer

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

REDACTED

II. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- Glidewell owns the trademark registration for BruxZir on the class of 1. goods including dental crowns and bridges.
- Glidewell is the senior user, as it has been marketing its goods under 2. the BruxZir mark since June 2009, whereas Keating commenced marketing its competing goods under its KDZ Bruxer mark in May 2011.
- Glidewell's BruxZir mark is suggestive, and therefore inherently 3. distinctive. This factor weighs in favor of finding a likelihood of confusion. Conversive, Inc. v. Conversagent, Inc., 433 F. Supp. 2d 1079, 1090 (C.D. Cal. 2006) (granting summary judgment in favor of registered mark).
- Glidewell's BruxZir mark is commercially strong. This factor 4. weighs in favor of finding a likelihood of confusion. Century 21 Real Estate Corp. v. Sandlin, 846 F.2d 1175 (9th Cir. 1988).
- Glidewell's BruxZir crowns and bridges and Keating's KDZ Bruxer 5. crowns and bridges directly compete. This factor favors the conclusion that confusion is highly likely. Century 21, 846 F.2d at 1179 (the fact that the goods marketed under the marks were "essentially the same" supported summary judgment in favor of the registered mark); Conversive, 433 F.Supp.2d at 1091(finding that the fact that the "type of goods and services at issue" were the same supported summary judgment in favor of the registered mark).
- Glidewell's BruxZir mark and Keating's KDZ Bruxer mark are highly 6. similar in appearance and meaning. This factor supports a finding that confusion is

24

25

26

27

- likely. Apple Computer, Inc. v. Formula International, Inc., 725 F.2d 521 (9th Cir. 1984); Conversive, 433 F.Supp.2d at 1091.
- 7. There is pervasive evidence of actual confusion in this case, which includes evidence of at least 87 separate incidents of actual confusion. This factor strongly favors a finding that confusion is likely. *Conversive, Inc..*, 433 F. Supp. 2d at 1093.
- 8. The channels through which Glidewell's BruxZir-brand dental crowns and bridges are marketed are nearly identical to those through which Keating's KDZ Bruxer-brand dental crowns and bridges are marketed, and include the Internet, direct mailers and trade journals. This factor favors a finding that confusion is likely. *Century 21*, 846 F.2d at 1179 (the fact that the marketing channels were "substantially the same" supported summary judgment in favor of the registered mark); *PerfumeBay.com Inc. v. eBay Inc.*, 506 F.3d 1165, 1174-75 (9th Cir.2007) (courts consider the use of the Internet as a marketing and advertising channel "as exacerbating the likelihood of confusion.").
- 9. The type of goods at issue in this case are not such as to require a high degree of care. However, even if a high degree of care was used by dentists in selecting a particular brand of full contour zirconia crown, the fact that dentists (who are presumably sophisticated consumers) are actually confused shows that the likelihood of confusion based on the degree of care factor is enhanced. *Conversive*, 433 F.Supp.2d at 1093. Moreover, even where a high degree of care is exercised, initial interest confusion may still exist. *Id.* Thus, this factor favors a finding that confusion is likely.
- 10. The evidence indicates that Keating was aware of Glidewell's BruxZir mark when it made the decision to begin selling competing products under the KDZ Bruxer mark. This factor, then, favors a finding of likelihood of confusion. *E. & J. Gallo Winery v. Gallo Cattle Co.*, 967 F.2d 1280, 1293 (9th Cir.1992) (*citing Sleekcraft*, 599 F.2d at 354).

- 25 -

- 11. The fact that Glidewell's BruxZir-brand full contour zirconia crowns and bridges already compete with Keating's KDZ Bruxer full contour zirconia crowns indicates that the "likelihood of expansion" factor favors a finding that confusion is likely. *Conversive*, 433 F.Supp.2d at 1093.
- 12. There is no genuine issue of material fact but that confusion among the relevant market of consumers (dentists) regarding source, affiliation, association or endorsement over Glidewell's registered BruxZir mark is likely to result if Keating continues to market full contour zirconia crowns and bridges under the KDZ Bruxer mark.
- 13. Partial summary judgment is entered in favor of Glidewell and against Keating on Glidewell's First Cause of Action for Infringement of a Federally Registered Mark.
- 14. Partial summary judgment is entered in favor of Glidewell and against Keating on Keating's Second Affirmative Defense of No Likelihood of Confusion.
- 15. Partial summary judgment is entered in favor of Glidewell and against Keating on Keating's First Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement.

Dated:

By:

Hon. David O. Carter

[PROPOSED] STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS ISO GLIDEWELL'S MPSJ RE INFRINGEMENT

United States District Judge

2

Glidewell Laboratories v. Keating Dental Arts, Inc. United States District Court, Central, Case No. SACV11-01309-DOC (ANx)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 19, 2012, I electronically filed the document described as [PROPOSED] STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF GLIDEWELL'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE INFRINGEMENT AND DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT'S SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE AND FIRST COUNTERCLAIM with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such filing to the following:

David G. Jankowski Jeffrey L. Van Hoosear Lynda J Zadra-Symes Knobbe Martens Olson and Bear LLP 2040 Main Street, 14th Floor Irvine, CA 92614 Attorneys for Defendant Keating Dental Arts, Inc.

Tel: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502

Jeffrey.vanhoosear@kmob.com David.jankowski@kmob.com Lynda.zadra-symes@kmob.com litigation@kmob.com

Dated: November 19, 2012 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

By: <u>s/Philip J. Graves</u>
Philip J. Graves
Greer N. Shaw

Attorneys for Plaintiff James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc. dba GLIDEWELL LABORATORIES

16139994.1

Certificate of Service SACV11-01309-DOC (ANx)